

REMARKS

By the present amendment, claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 are pending in the application.

Claims 1 and 6 are independent claims.

Claim Amendments

Claim 1

Amended independent claim 1 is a combination of claim 1 and prior, now canceled, dependent claim 2.

Claim 6

Dependent claim 6 is rewritten in independent form with the limitation directed to --constructing the walls..." of prior, now canceled, dependent claim 5 incorporated into new amended independent claim 6.

§112, ¶2

Claims 5 and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

The Office Action objected to dependent claims 5 and 6 being method claims dependent on article/apparatus claim 1.

In response to this rejection, claim 6 has been amended by the present amendment to be an independent claim. Dependent claim 5 has been canceled. Amended independent claim 6 contains the claim limitation directed to --constructing the walls....-- of prior dependent claim 5.

In view of the present amendment, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

§102/§103

Claims 1, 3 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,782,047 to DeQuesada.

Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,782,047 to DeQuesada.

These rejections, as applied to the amended claims, are respectfully traversed.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Office Action advised at the bottom of page 4 that claim 2 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The Office Action advised at the top of page 5 that claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Patentability

Amended independent claim 1 is a combination of claim 1 and claim 2.

Dependent claim 6 has been amended to be independent claim 6 with the claim limitation of prior, now canceled, dependent claim 5 directed to --constructing the walls-- incorporated into amended independent claim 6.

It is therefore submitted that amended independent claims 1 and 6 are patentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,782,047 to DeQuesada.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that in view of the present amendment and foregoing remarks, the application is now in condition for allowance. It is therefore respectfully requested that the application, as amended, be allowed and passed for issue.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

By: John J. Kelly, Jr.
John J. Kelly, Jr.
Reg. No. 29,182

Dated: February 26, 2009

KENYON & KENYON
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
Telephone No. (212) 425-7200
Facsimile No. (212) 425-5288
CUSTOMER NO. 26646